UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/812,075	03/30/2004	Yoshinori Sekiguchi	Q74855	3021
23373 SUGHRUE MIC	7590 07/16/2007 ON PLIC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVÁNIA AVENUE, N.W.			JAISLE, CECILIA M	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624	
				· · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/812,075	SEKIGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cecilia M. Jaisle	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct.	23, 2006 & Mar. 13, 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-112</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-56, 59-62, 65-67, 69-71, 73, 74, 102 &104-106 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,57,58,63,64,68,72,75-101,103 and 107-112</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>May 4, 2007 &amp; Jun. 1, 2007</u> .	6)  Other:					

### **DETAILED OFFICE ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of Group III, Claims 1, 2, 57, 58, 63, 64, 68, 72, 75-101,103 and 107-112 (in part), drawn to compounds of formula I wherein Q is formula IV (pyrimidine), and pharmaceutical compositions thereof, classified in classes 514 and 544, various subclasses depending on substituents, in the reply filed on Oct. 23, 2006 is acknowledged. Applicant's further election of the species of Example 3398, 3-chloro-N-[cis-4-(4-dimethylamino-5-methyl-pyrimidin-2-ylamino)-cyclohexyl]-4-fluoro-benzamide methanesulfonic acid, in the further reply filed on Mar. 13, 2007 is also acknowledged. Claims 1, 2, 57, 58, 63, 64, 68, 72, 75-101, 103 and 107-112 read on the elected species, and these claims are under examination only to the extent that they are patentably indistinct from the elected species. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 3-56, 59-62, 65-67, 69-71, 73, 74, 102 and 104-106 are withdrawn as non-elected.

# Rejection Under 35 USC 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1, 2, 57, 58, 63, 64, 68, 72, 75-101, 103 and 107-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for pharmaceutically salts, does not reasonably provide enablement for hydrates and solvates. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims, insofar as they embrace hydrates and solvates are not enabled. Although hydrates and solvates are prophesied, there is not a single example presented of the production of a hydrate or a solvate. The evidence of the specification is thus clear: These compounds do not possess the property of forming hydrates or solvates; there is no evidence that such compounds even exist. Thus, this is a circumstance where the "specification is evidence of its own inadequacy" (*In re Rainer*, 153 USPQ 802, 807). These cannot be simply willed into existence. As was stated in *Morton International Inc. v. Cardinal Chemical Co.*, 28 USPQ2d 1190:

The specification purports to teach, with over fifty examples, the preparation of the claimed compounds with the required connectivity. However ... there is no evidence that such compounds exist ... the examples of the '881 patent do not produce the postulated compounds ... there is ... no evidence that such compounds even exist.

This circumstance appears true here: no evidence shows that hydrates or solvates of these compounds actually exist; if they did, they would have formed. Applicants must show that hydrates and solvates can be made, or limit the claims accordingly.

Art Unit: 1624

# Rejections Under 35 US 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 75, 79, 90, 94, 98, 100, 103, 107 and 112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson, et al., US Pat. No. 7,101,878, available as a reference as of the publication date of WO2000/020358A2, published Apr 13, 2000, describing (paragraph [0032]) RN 263847-63-8, 2-Furancarboxamide, N-[[3-[[[4-[[(tetrahydro-2-furanyl)methyl]amino]-2-pyrimidinyl]amino]methyl]cyclohexyl]methyl]-5-[(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)methyl]-,

, wherein Q in

claimed Formula (I) is 2-pyrimidinyl, R2 is tetrahydro-2-furanyl-methylamino, p is 0 so that T is absent, L is Formula (VI), A and B are each –CH2-, Y is –C(O)-, and R1 is (viii) heterocyclyl substituted by methyl substituted by carbocyclic aryl,

Art Unit: 1624

RN 263848-23-3, 2-Furancarboxamide, N-[[4-[[(4,6-dimethoxy-2-pyrimidinyl)amino]methyl]cyclohexyl]methyl]-5-[(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)methyl]-,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Me} & \text{Me} \\ \text{Me} & \text{CH}_2 \\ \text{Me} & \text{Me} \end{array}$$

RN 263848-45-9, 2-Furancarboxamide, N-[[4-[[(4-chloro-2-pyrimidinyl)amino]methyl]cyclohexyl]methyl]-5-[(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)methyl]-,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Me} & \text{Me} \\ \text{Me} & \text{CH}_2 \\ \text{Me} & \text{Me} \end{array}$$

RN 263848-46-0, 2-Furancarboxamide, N-[[4-[[(4-amino-5-cyano-2-pyrimidinyl)amino]methyl]cyclohexyl]methyl]-5-[(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)methyl]-,

Me Me 
$$CH_2$$
  $CH_2$   $C$ 

Art Unit: 1624

RN 263848-62-0, 2-Furancarboxamide, N-[[4-[(2-

pyrimidinylamino)methyl]cyclohexyl]methyl]-5- [(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)methyl]-,

RN 263848-88-0, 2-Furancarboxamide, N-[[3-[(2-

pyrimidinylamino)methyl]cyclohexyl]methyl]-5- [(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)methyl]-,

Art Unit: 1624

RN 263849-03-2, 2-Furancarboxamide, N-[4-[[4-[[(tetrahydro-2-furanyl)methyl]amino]-2-pyrimidinyl]amino]cyclohexyl]-5-[(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)methyl]-,

$$\begin{picture}(20,0) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){100}} \put(0,0){\line(1,0){100$$

RN 263851-05-4, 2-Furancarboxamide, N-[2-[[4-[[(tetrahydro-2-furanyl)methyl]amino]-2-pyrimidinyl]amino]cyclohexyl]-5-[(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)methyl]-,

Claims 1, 2, 75-77, 79, 82-85, 88-90, 93, 94, 98, 100, 103, 107 and 112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wustrow, et al., Journal of Medicinal Chemistry (1998), 41(5), 760-771, describing

Art Unit: 1624

RN 204245-70-5, 2-Pyrimidinamine, N-[4-[2-

[methyl(phenylmethyl)amino]ethyl]cyclohexyl]-, trans-,

RN 204245-89-6, 2-Pyrimidinamine, N-[4-[2-(dipropylamino)ethyl]cyclohexyl]-, trans-,

RN 189153-07-9, Cyclohexaneacetic acid, 4-(2-pyrimidinylamino)-, ethyl ester, trans-,

Claims 1, 2, 75, 79, 90, 94, 98, 100, 103, 107 and 112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Lommen, et al., US Pat. No. 5,607,949, issued Mar. 4, 1997, describing RN 155429-41-7, 1,3-Cyclohexanediamine, N-[(3,4-dihydro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-yl)methyl]-N'-2-pyrimidinyl-,

RN 155429-48-4, 1,4-Cyclohexanediamine, N-[(3,4-dihydro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-yl)methyl]-N'-2-pyrimidinyl-,

RN 155426-33-8, 1,3-Cyclohexanediamine, N-[(3,4-dihydro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-yl)methyl]-N'-2-pyrimidinyl-, dihydrochloride, trans-,

●2 HCl

RN 155426-34-9, 1,3-Cyclohexanediamine, N-[(3,4-dihydro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-yl)methyl]-N'-2-pyrimidinyl-, dihydrochloride, cis-,

●2 HCl

, and

RN 155426-39-4, 1,4-Cyclohexanediamine, N-[(3,4-dihydro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-yl)methyl]-N'-2-pyrimidinyl-, dihydrochloride,

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 75, 76, 79, 82-85, 90, 94, 98, 100, 103, 107 and 112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ackerman, et al., US Pat. No. 7,012,077, entitled to the filing date of Dec. 5, 2002, describes RN 553677-39-7, 1-Propanol, 3-[[[trans-4-[2-[(5-bromo-2-pyrimidinyl)methylamino]ethyl]cyclohexyl]methyl]amino]-

(I) is 2-pyrimidinyl, R2 is hydrogen, p is 1, T is bromo, L is Formula (VI), A and B are

each –CH2-, Y is a single bond, and R1 is (i) propyl substituted by hydroxy,

RN 553677-40-0, 1-Propanol, 3-[[[trans-4-[2-[(5-bromo-2-pyrimidinyl)methylamino]ethyl]cyclohexyl]methylamino]-,

RN 553676-54-3, 2-Pyrimidinamine, 5-bromo-N-[trans-4-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]cyclohexyl]-N-methyl-,

RN 553676-55-4, 2-Pyrimidinamine, 5-bromo-N-methyl-N-[trans-4-[3-(methyl-2-propenylamino)propyl]cyclohexyl]-,

RN 553676-56-5, 2-Pyrimidinamine, 5-bromo-N-methyl-N-[trans-4-[3-(methylpropylamino)propyl]cyclohexyl]-,

RN 553676-57-6, 2-Pyrimidinamine, 5-bromo-N-[trans-4-[3-[ethyl(2-methoxyethyl)amino]propyl]cyclohexyl]-N-methyl-,

RN 553676-71-4, 2-Pyrimidinamine, 5-bromo-N-[trans-4-[4-(dimethylamino)butyl]cyclohexyl]-N-methyl-,

RN 553677-00-2, 2-Pyrimidinamine, 5-bromo-N-[2-[trans-4-(dimethylamino)methyl]cyclohexyl]ethyl]-,

RN 553677-02-4, 2-Pyrimidinamine, 5-bromo-N-[2-[trans-4-[(dimethylamino)methyl]cyclohexyl]ethyl]-N-methyl-,

$$^{\rm NMe}_{\rm Br}$$
 , and

Art Unit: 1624

RN 553677-37-5, Ethanol, 2-[[[trans-4-[2-[(5-bromo-2-pyrimidinyl)methylamino]ethyl]cyclohexyl]methyl]ethylamino]-,

# Improper Markush Rejection

Claims 1, 2, 57, 58, 63, 64, 68, 72, 75-101,103 and 107-112 are rejected as being drawn to an improper Markush Group. The claims are drawn to multiple inventions for reasons set forth in the above requirement for restriction. This does not constitute an art-recognized genus. Because of the marked structural differences at a part of the molecule essential for utility, the claims are deemed to lack unity of invention (see *In re Harnish*, 206 USPQ 300). The claims are examined only to the extent that they read on the elected invention. Cancellation of the non-elected subject matter will overcome the rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cecilia M. Jaisle, J. D. whose telephone number is 571-272-9931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday; 8:30 am through 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,075 Page 14

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cecilia M. Jaisle, J. D.

MARK L BERCH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
CROUP 120 - ART UNIT 62 4

Man See